Journal of Functional Education, Spring 2026, Volume 2, No. 1, 1 – 9.
ISSN: 2330-0612. https://jfeacademia.org/

Applying Project Management Principles to School Improvement:
A Strategic Framework for Sustainable Educational Change
DOI: 10.13140/RG.2.2.29851.84009

Applying Project Management Principles to School Improvement: A Strategic Framework for Sustainable Educational Change

By Alozie A. Ogbonna, PhD, CAEL, MA, MA, PGDE, FIIAS

Educational Consultant and CEO of Erudite Consulting Group Inc., U.S.A.

Journal of Functional Education, Spring 2026, Volume 2, No. 1, 1 – 9.
ISSN: 2330-0612. https://jfeacademia.org/

RESEARCH ARTICLE

Applying Project Management Principles to School Improvement: A Strategic Framework for Sustainable Educational Change

Alozie A. Ogbonna, PhD, CAEL, MA, MA, PGDE, FIIAS
Educational Consultant and CEO
Erudite Consulting Group Inc.
New York. U.S.A.
Email: alozie274@gmail.com

Journal of Functional Education, Spring 2026, Volume 2, No. 1, 1 – 9.
ISSN: 2330-0612. https://jfeacademia.org/

Abstract

Schools identified as "in need of improvement" often face complex, systemic challenges that extend beyond classroom instruction. These challenges include misaligned resources, inadequate stakeholder engagement, and limited responsiveness to demographic and academic shifts. This article argues that project management provides a structured and effective framework for addressing these issues. Drawing on established project management theory, this paper explores how the project life cycle, initiation, planning, execution, monitoring and controlling, and closing, can be applied to school improvement efforts. Additionally, it examines key project management knowledge areas, including scope, time, resource, quality, risk, and communication management, as essential components of educational leadership. The article offers practical strategies for implementing these principles in school settings and highlights their potential to improve student outcomes, organizational effectiveness, and stakeholder satisfaction.

Keywords: school improvement, project management, educational leadership, stakeholder engagement, continuous improvement

Introduction

Educational institutions operate within increasingly complex environments characterized by diverse student populations, accountability pressures, and evolving policy demands. Schools designated as underperforming frequently struggle with systemic inefficiencies rather than isolated instructional shortcomings. Traditional reform efforts often fail because they lack coherence, structure, and sustained implementation.

Project management, widely used in business and public sector organizations, offers a systematic approach to achieving strategic objectives within defined constraints (Watt, 2014). When applied to education, it provides a framework for organizing improvement initiatives, aligning resources, and ensuring accountability. This article presents a conceptual model for integrating project management principles into school improvement efforts, with a focus on sustainability and organizational learning.

Conceptual Framework

Project Management in Education

Project management is defined as the application of knowledge, skills, tools, and techniques to meet project requirements (Project Management Institute [PMI], n.d.). In educational settings, improvement initiatives, such as curriculum reform, literacy programs, or interventions for English language learners, function as projects with specific goals, timelines, and stakeholders.

Research indicates that ineffective stakeholder management and poor planning are among the leading causes of project failure (Sutterfield et al., 2006). Similarly, schools often struggle when leadership fails to align instructional goals with available resources or when stakeholder needs are not adequately addressed. By adopting a project management approach, educational leaders can introduce structure, clarity, and accountability into school improvement processes.

Applying the Project Life Cycle to School Improvement

Initiation—Defining Purpose and Engaging Stakeholders

The initiation phase establishes the foundation for successful school improvement. This includes identifying key challenges, defining objectives, and engaging stakeholders. In educational

contexts, stakeholders encompass students, parents, educators, administrators, policymakers, and community members.

Effective stakeholder engagement is critical, as failure to involve key groups can undermine reform efforts (Sutterfield et al., 2006). Additionally, schools serving diverse populations must adopt culturally responsive practices. Managing diversity effectively enhances collaboration and improves outcomes (Brett et al., 2006). A clear vision that prioritizes equity, inclusion, and measurable growth should guide all improvement initiatives.

Planning—Strategic Alignment and Resource Allocation

The planning phase involves translating vision into actionable strategies. This includes defining scope, setting goals, allocating resources, and identifying risks. In many underperforming schools, a primary issue is the mismatch between expectations and available resources.

Watt (2014) emphasizes that clear scope definition and resource alignment are essential for project success. Schools must conduct comprehensive needs assessments to identify gaps in staffing, professional development, and instructional materials.

Accurate estimation is another critical component. Poor estimation can lead to unrealistic expectations and ineffective implementation (Griffin, 2015). Data-driven planning ensures that goals are achievable and aligned with organizational capacity.

Execution—Implementing Instructional and Organizational Change

Execution involves putting plans into action through coordinated efforts and effective leadership. In schools, this phase centers on instructional delivery, professional collaboration, and resource management.

Collaborative structures such as professional learning communities (PLCs) play a vital role in successful execution. Research demonstrates that teamwork and iterative problem-solving

improve outcomes (Wujec, 2010). These structures enable educators to share best practices, analyze data, and refine instructional strategies.

Leadership effectiveness during execution is closely linked to emotional intelligence. Leaders who demonstrate empathy and strong interpersonal skills are better equipped to motivate staff and foster a positive organizational culture (Bailey, 2015).

Monitoring and Controlling—Data-Driven Continuous Improvement

The monitoring and controlling phase ensures that improvement efforts remain on track. This involves tracking progress, analyzing data, and making necessary adjustments.

In underperforming schools, delays in identifying and addressing issues often exacerbate challenges. Implementing real-time data systems and formative assessments allows leaders to respond proactively.

Continuous improvement models, such as the Plan-Do-Check-Act (PDCA) cycle, support iterative refinement of strategies. According to Stillman and Spires (2014), adaptive management is a key factor in achieving successful outcomes.

Closing—Reflection and Institutional Learning

The closing phase focuses on evaluating outcomes and capturing lessons learned. Although frequently overlooked, this phase is essential for sustaining improvement.

LaBrosse (2020) highlights the risks associated with bypassing proper closure processes, including repeated mistakes and inefficiencies. Schools should conduct formal evaluations of initiatives and use findings to inform future planning. Institutionalizing reflective practices promotes organizational learning and long-term success.

Integration of Project Management Knowledge Areas

Effective school improvement necessitates the deliberate integration of key project management knowledge areas to ensure strategic alignment and sustainable outcomes. *Scope management* plays a critical role in establishing clear, focused, and achievable educational objectives that guide instructional priorities. *Time management* is equally essential, as it ensures the optimal use of instructional time to maximize student learning and teacher effectiveness. In addition, *resource management* involves the strategic alignment of staffing, materials, and other assets with the diverse needs of students, thereby promoting equity and efficiency. *Quality management* extends beyond traditional measures, emphasizing the importance of incorporating holistic metrics of success that capture broader dimensions of student growth and development.

Furthermore, *risk management* enables school leaders to anticipate potential challenges—such as policy shifts, demographic changes, or resource constraints—and implement proactive mitigation strategies. Finally, *communication management* fosters transparency and active stakeholder engagement, which are vital for building trust, collaboration, and shared ownership of school improvement initiatives.

Organizations that effectively apply these principles are more likely to achieve their strategic goals (PMI, n.d.). In education, this translates to improved student outcomes and increased stakeholder trust.

Implications for Educational Leadership

The integration of project management principles into educational leadership has significant implications. Leaders must adopt a systems-thinking approach, recognizing the interconnected nature of instructional, organizational, and community factors.

Additionally, leadership preparation programs should incorporate project management training to equip future administrators with the skills needed to manage complex initiatives. Policymakers and district leaders should also support the adoption of structured improvement frameworks to enhance accountability and effectiveness.

Conclusion

Improving schools in need of improvement requires a comprehensive, strategic approach that addresses systemic challenges. Project management offers a robust framework for guiding these efforts, enabling educational leaders to plan effectively, execute strategically, and sustain meaningful change. By applying the project life cycle and integrating key knowledge areas, schools can move beyond reactive interventions toward proactive, data-driven improvement. Ultimately, the adoption of project management principles in education holds significant promise for advancing equity, enhancing organizational effectiveness, and improving outcomes for all students.

References

Bailey, S. (2015, March 5). Emotional intelligence predicts job performance: The 7 traits that help managers relate. *Forbes*.

Brett, J., Behfar, K., & Kern, M. C. (2006). Managing multicultural teams. *Harvard Business Review, 84*(11), 84–91.

Griffin, M. (2015, June 18). The art of creating accurate estimates. *A List Apart*.

LaBrosse, M. (2020, February 5). The hidden costs and dangers of the shortcut. *ProjectSmart*.

Project Management Institute (PMI). (n.d.). *The value of project management*.

Stillman, F., & Spires, M. (2014, February 24). Improving successful project completion: Lessons learned from the global health partnerships program. *Health Affairs Blog*.

Sutterfield, J. S., Friday-Stroud, S. S., & Shivers-Blackwell, S. L. (2006). A case study of project and stakeholder management failures: Lessons learned. *Project Management Journal, 37*(5), 26–35.

Watt, A. (2014). *Project management*. BCcampus. https://opentextbc.ca/projectmanagement/

Wujec, T. (2010, February). *Build a tower, build a team* [Video]. TED. http://www.ted.com/talks/tom_wujec_build_a_tower?language=en.